IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 6009 of 1995

Between:

M/s. Anand Modern Rice Mill,

Represented by its Managing Partner,

Ch.Veeresalingam,

H.No.30/265/21/56, Neredmet,

SECUNDERABAD.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Superintending Engineer, Electrical,

Assessments (Special), 4th Floor,

APSEB, New Octogonal Building, Auditorium End,

Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad

(R1 – dismissed for default vide Court Order, dt.27.11.2000)

2. The Chief Engineer, Electricity, Metropolitan Zone, APSEB,

Mint Compound, Hyderabad

3. The Asst. Divisional Engineer (Operation),

A.P. State Electricity Board, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad

4. The Asst. Accounts Officer, Electricity Revenue Office,

APSEB, R.North-I, Habsiguda, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, in the nature of Mandamus declaring the impugned order of the 2nd respondent in his Proceedings No.1844/94, dated 19.12.1994 confirming the order of the first Respondent, dated 31.12.1992 in his order No.930/92 as arbitrary, illegal and opposed to all principles of natural justice and to issue a consequential direction to the respondents not to collect the estimated loss for the alleged pilferage.

Counsel for the Petitioner: None appeared

Counsel for the respondents:

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

None appeared for the petitioner. As it was represented before this Court that the learned counsel for the petitioner died, this Court, by an order, dated 25.11.2004, directed notice to the petitioner. Pursuant to the said order, office sent notice to the petitioner on 29.11.2004 and the same was served on the petitioner. Subsequently, the case was listed for hearing on number of occasions. On 23.6.2005, this Court directed the printing of the name of the petitioner. Accordingly, his name was printed and the matter was posted to 27.6.2005 and there was no representation on that day. Again, the matter is listed today for orders of the Court.

The matter was called for in the morning as well as in the afternoon. There is no representation for the petitioner.

Under these circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

_____

(G. CHANDRAIAH, J.)

7th July, 2005

avs

To

1. The Chief Engineer, Electricity, Metropolitan Zone, APSEB,

Mint Compound, Hyderabad

2. The Asst. Divisional Engineer (Operation),

A.P. State Electricity Board, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad

3. The Asst. Accounts Officer, Electricity Revenue Office,

APSEB, R.North-I, Habsiguda, Hyderabad

4. Two C.D. copies